



107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-2154-2026

Date of decision: 07.03.2026

Sadhna Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

Kanchan Rani and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Ms. Sindhu Prajapati, Advocate for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a revision petition filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 06.01.2026 passed by the Rent Controller, Ambala.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is landlady in the present case and had filed an application under Section 13 of the Haryana Act No.11 of 1973 for ejectment of the respondents from the shop in question. It is submitted that a perusal of the impugned order would show that on 21.05.2024, the respondents after having been duly served were proceeded against ex parte as neither respondents nor their counsel had appeared. It is submitted that an application for setting aside the ex parte order dated 21.05.2024 was filed on 08.08.2025, after much delay without any application for condonation of



delay. It is submitted that the petitioner had filed another petition against the same respondents and there also, the respondents are trying to delay the matter. It is argued that by virtue of the impugned order dated 06.01.2026, ex parte order has been set aside. It is submitted that till date, written statement has not been filed and case is now fixed for 19.04.2026.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the above said facts and circumstances, the petitioner is making a very limited prayer in the present revision petition which is to the effect that the respondents be directed to file written statement on or before the next date of hearing and be also directed not to seek unnecessary adjournment. It is submitted that the petitioner be permitted to withdraw the present revision petition after considering the abovesaid limited prayer.

4. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, the present petitioner is permitted to withdraw the present revision petition with liberty to raise all the pleas which are available to her during the course of arguments. The respondents are directed to file their written statement if not already filed on or before the next date of hearing and are also directed not to seek unnecessary adjournment in the matter.

07.03.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No