



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

216

FAO-725-2000 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.01.2026

Jagdish

.....Appellant

Vs.

Narender Driver No.38

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

In compliance of the previous order, notice was sent to the appellant. The said notice has been received back with the report that he has expired. No application is moved on behalf of the LR of the appellant to be impleaded as LRs.

In these circumstances, it appears that appellant/his LRs are not interested in pursuing the appeal.

Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

January 14, 2026

Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No