



137

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6529-2026

Date of decision: 05.03.2026

JITESH AND OTHERS**....Petitioners**

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS**....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL**

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mrs. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG, Haryana.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (Oral):

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari seeking modification of the impugned order/appointment letters No.78 dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P-1), impugned order No.23 dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-2), impugned order No.10, dated 28.05.2024 (Annexure P-3), impugned order No.74 dated 29.05.2024 (Annexure P-4) and impugned order No.4 dated 27.05.2024 (Annexure P-5) vide which the financial benefits/arrears of salary w.e.f. 11.07.2024 at par with similar situated employees have been denied to the petitioners, with a further prayer for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents for granting the consequential relief/financial benefits to the petitioners with interest @ 24 % per annum in the light of judgment of this Court titled as *Dinesh Vs. State of Haryana and others* passed in CWP-13297-2022, decided on 22.05.2024 (Annexure P-11) and case titled as *Ranbir Singh Vs. State of Haryana and others* passed by this Court in

**CWP-6529-2026****2**

CWP-25157-2014 decided on 10.07.2017.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he will be satisfied in case a direction be issued to the respondent/State to decide the representations dated 08.10.2024, 08.10.2024, 03.08.2025, 10.07.2025 and 05.05.2025 Annexures P-6 to P-10, respectively.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Mayuri Lakhanpal Kalia, DAG Haryana, having served with an advance copy of the petition, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent/State and assures the Court that the above-said representation will be decided within time bound manner.

5. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, respondent No. 2 – Principle Chief Conservator of Forest Department, Haryana, Van Bhawan Sec.6, Panchkula, is directed to decide the representations dated 08.10.2024, 08.10.2024, 03.08.2025, 10.07.2025 and 05.05.2025, Annexures P-6 to P-10 respectively, after providing opportunity of hearing to the petitioners and by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from today, and a copy of the said speaking order shall be supplied to the petitioners within one week thereafter.

6. The petition in the aforesaid terms stands disposed off.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

05.03.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No