



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CWP-6966-2026

Date of Decision: 09.03.2026

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

ROHTASH SINGH AND ORS

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present:- Mr. Ashish Rawal, Senior Panel Counsel,
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 04.08.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'Tribunal'), by which, the Original Application filed by the respondents has been allowed and the benefit has been extended to them on the basis of the judgment in OA No.1095 of 2021, titled as '*Surinder Pal and others vs. Union of India and others*', decided on 29.01.2025, which judgment has already been upheld upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners argues that though the law is settled now but some time frame be granted for implementation of the same.

3. Once the impugned order was passed on 04.08.2025 and the



SLP against CWP-23898-2025 has been dismissed by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in the month of January, 2026, upholding *Surinder Pal*’s case (supra) the said order should have been implemented.

4. Keeping in view the totality of facts and circumstances, let the petitioners do the needful within a period of two months from today.
Disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

March 09, 2026
harish

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No