



**205-1 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

1. CWP-8813-2001 (O&M)

Amritsar Club

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and Others

...Respondent(s)

2. CWP-7788-2000 (O&M)

Amritsar Club

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and Others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Aditya Vermani Advocate
for the respondent-PSPCL in **CWP-8813-2001**.

Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate
for the respondent-PSPCL in **CWP-7788-2000**.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order.

2. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of Annexure P-3 whereby respondent has raised demand of Rs.3,08,285/-.

3. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that as per statutory provisions, demand could be raised by Electrical Inspector whereas demand was raised by Assistant Executive Engineer,

Commercial. The impugned order is bad on account of jurisdictional error.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that if respondent finds appropriate, fresh order would be passed by Competent Authority.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the impugned order is hereby set aside with liberty to respondents to pass fresh order in accordance with law.

6. ***Disposed of.***

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
Prince Chawla

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No