



213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-2403-2026

Date of decision:27.05.2026

Varinder Sharma @ Birendra Chaupal

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR

Present: Ms. Divya Menon, Advocate for
Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Adeshwar Singh Pannu, AAG, Punjab.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. The limited ground on which the parole application was rejected was that the house of the accused is close to the place where the victim is residing. On the previous occasion, counsel for the petitioner stated that victim has got married.
2. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Balbir Singh, states that the victim has not solemnized the marriage yet she has moved to Bihar to stay with her parents.
3. Once the victim has already shifted to Bihar the perceived threat on account of which the parole has been rejected i.e. the safety of victim on account of release of the petitioner, cannot be pressed to oppose the plea of parole.
4. In such circumstances, the order impugned dated 11.11.2025. is quashed. The authority concerned is directed to release the petitioner on parole for a period of six weeks from the date of his release, subject to his furnishing adequate sureties to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate



concerned. The Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned shall be at liberty to impose appropriate conditions to ensure the petitioner’s return to custody upon the expiry of the parole period.

- 5. The present petition stands disposed of.
- 6. Pending application(s), if any, stand(s) disposed of.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

27.05.2026

neeraj

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No