



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

218

CRM-M No.11719 of 2026
Date of decision : 1.4.2026
Date of uploading : 1.4.2026

ManakPetitioner
Versus
State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Bakul Garg, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Jaypreet Singh, DAG, Punjab
Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Advocate
(Senior Panel Counsel, RPF) with
Mr. Ish Karan Chhabra, Advocate, for respondent no.2

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.2.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in CC No. 02 dated 25.01.2026 registered for offences punishable under Sections 3 RP (UP) Railways Act at Police Station RPF Dhuri, District Sangrur the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of BNSS seeking pre-arrest bail.

Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the FIR in question. It is further submitted that the co-accused have already been granted regular bail & the petitioner is willing to join the investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG, Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Ms. Promila Nain, Senior Panel Counsel with Mr. Ish Karan Singh Chhabra, Central Government Counsel has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-RPF.

Adjourned to 01.04.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on



07.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.'

2. Learned senior counsel for respondent no.2 (on instructions from ASI Sukhraj Singh) submits that pursuant to the order dated 27.2.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. Interim order dated 27.2.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

1.4.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No