

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-12059-2026**

**Date of decision: 24.03.2026**

**GURJIT SINGH**

**....Petitioner**

**Versus**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

**....Respondent**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL**

Present:- Mr. Satnam Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....


**RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. This is a petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.37 dated 09.02.2026 registered under Section 21 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (Section 29 of the NDPS Act added later on) at P.S. Sadar Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

2. Brief facts of the present case are that on the basis of secret information, police apprehended one Charanjeet Singh @ Channa who was found in conscious possession of 256 grams of *Heroin*. On the basis of disclosure statement of the said co-accused the present petitioner was nominated as an accused in the present case on the allegations that the petitioner is engaged in the business of Heroin.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence

to connect the petitioner with the offence in question and it is a trite law



that disclosure statement of co-accused during his custodial interrogation is not admissible in evidence. He further submits that the petitioner was granted interim protection by this Court and was directed to join the investigation vide order dated 06.03.2026 within a week, however, the petitioner could not join the investigation and prays that one more opportunity be allowed to the petitioner to join the investigation.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the petition by submitting that the offence committed by the petitioner is serious in nature. He submits that the petitioner is alleged to be the supplier of the contraband i.e. Heroin to the co-accused Charanjeet Singh @ Channa. Learned State counsel has further submitted that the petitioner had not joined the investigation before the investigating agency and has not even approached this Court with clean hands.

5. Heard.

6. This Court vide order dated 06.03.2026 had granted interim relief to the petitioner giving specific directions to appear before the Investigating Officer within a week. Since the petitioner has not complied with the directions of the Court, the interim relief granted to the petitioner vide order dated 06.03.2026 stands vacated and the present petition is hereby accordingly dismissed.

7. It is made clear that nothing said hereinabove shall be deemed to be an expression of opinion upon merits of the case/investigation.

24.03.2026

puneet

- i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
- ii) Whether reportable?

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)  
JUDGE

Yes/No  
Yes/No