



CRM-M-12727-2026 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12727-2026 (O&M)

Reserved on: 13.03.2026

Pronounced on: 16.03.2026

Uploaded on: 16.03.2026

SUMIT KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS**STATE OF HARYANA**

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPALPresent: Ms. Shabina Bano, Advocate
for the petitioner. (through V.C.)

Mr. Kshitij Bharti, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No. 213 dated 10.07.2025 under Sections 123, 351(2), 64(2)(m) Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, Police Station Saran, District Faridabad. Offence under Section 67-A of Information Technology Act, 2000 was added in the FIR during investigation. This is the first petition for regular bail.

2. Complainant S.S. reported that she worked as a Machine Operator in Chopra Oils in Sarurpur. On 20.04.2025, she did not go to the company. Her husband was away for work and her three sons were in school. At around 11.00 AM, Supervisor Sumit came and finding her alone, had forcible physical relations with her under threat saying that if she did not tell anyone, he would get her promoted and if she did tell, he would tell her husband and children everything about their relations. On 25.05.2025, he again threatened to tell her



husband about the physical relations and took her to *Kalkaji Mandir* in Delhi, where he gave her a cold drink, after consuming which she became dizzy. Taking advantage, he took her to a hotel room, where again he had physical relations with her. After that, he made a nude video of her. The last time when she refused him, he sent her nude video to her son Shalun, aged 16, on whatsapp, after which her son tried to commit suicide out of shame. Now he was threatening to make the video viral on internet.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was 04 months delay in registration of FIR and petitioner had been falsely implicated. The day of the alleged incident was a Sunday and in no circumstance, children of the complainant, could have gone to School that day. There was no evidence of any intoxicating drink collected by the prosecution, nor the hotel's record was sought. Nothing was found in the mobile phone of the petitioner. Petitioner was merely a worker in the company and was not in position to manage any kind of promotion for the prosecutrix. In fact, petitioner was in consensual relationship with the prosecutrix since long and was falsely implicated when the relations became sour. He was in custody for the last more than 09 months and deserved to be enlarged on bail.

4. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer of regular bail on the ground of serious nature of allegations and submits that during the course of investigation, obscene pictures and videos made viral by the petitioner were recovered and were part of the final report submitted by the police. Though, petitioner was in custody for 09 months, the trial had just began, no prosecution witness was examined and it was not a fit case to enlarge the petitioner on bail.



CRM-M-12727-2026 (O&M)

-3-

5. Petitioner, a co-worker of the prosecutrix, is alleged to have sexually violated her under threat and prepared her obscene videos, which he sent to her school going son, who tried to commit suicide. The objectionable videos and pictures have been recovered during the course of investigation. No witness has been examined during the course of trial. In the given facts and situation, possibility of the petitioner influencing the witnesses and interrupting the course of trial, cannot be ruled out. Thus, considering the serious and grave nature of allegations and all relevant facts and circumstances of the case, no ground is made out to enlarge the petitioner on bail. As such, the petition stands dismissed.

6. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an opinion on merits of the case.

7. Pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

MARCH 16, 2026

Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No