



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

165

CRM-M-11793-2026
Date of Decision : 10.03.2026

SARWAN RAM

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Ms. Apurva Walia, Advocate for
Mr. Krishan Sehajpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition is to direct the official respondents to conduct fair and impartial investigation with regard to an incident that allegedly occurred on 16.10.2024.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that son of the petitioner, namely, Manga Ram (deceased) was married to respondent No.8-Jaswinder Kaur about 8-9 years ago and was residing with his wife at her parental home. The married life of this couple was not smooth on account of temperamental differences. The son of the petitioner often used to narrate his sorry state of affairs to him (P).

Continuing further learned counsel contends that petitioner, through his own source came to know that his son consumed poison on 16.10.2024. Immediately thereafter though daughter-in-law of the petitioner and her family



members got him admitted to the Government Hospital, unfortunately he breathed his last. It is the contention of learned counsel that petitioner apprehends that his son was made to consume poison by his in-laws. Immediately thereafter, various representations were moved to the police authorities, which remain undecided till date. He submits that for the purpose of this petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if directions are given to respondent No.2 - Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) to consider and dispose of the representation dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-7) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

- 3. Notice of motion to official respondents only.
- 4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.
- 5. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural) to consider and dispose of the representation dated 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-7) within a period of one month, in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioners as well.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

10.03.2026
Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No