



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

238

CRM-M-12966-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 12.05.2026

Supriya

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioner

Mr. Adeshpal Singh, AAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned State counsel has filed affidavit dated 11.05.2026 of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Kharar-I, which is taken on record. He refers to para 3 whereof, which reads thus:

“ That with regard to the complaint (Annexure P-2) given by the petitioner as mentioned in para No. 5 of the earlier affidavit, the enquiry was conducted by the deponent by associating the petitioner as well as the police officials namely ASI Angrej Singh No. 230/SAS Nagar, Constable Ajay Gill No. 982/SAS Nagar, Lady Constable Rajwinder Kaur No. 1767/SAS Nagar and Lady Constable Husandeep Kaur No. 2210/SAS Nagar, and by perusing the entire record. During enquiry, it transpired that the petitioner did not produce any concrete evidence or witness in support of the allegations mentioned in her complaint (Annexure P-2) regarding molestation and bad touch by the police officials, and she herself stated that she is unable to produce any such evidence or witness. Further, it has been found that in FIR No. 165 dated 03.10.2023 under Sections 279, 427, 341, 379-B, 506, 148, and 149 IPC, Police Station Balongi, District S.A.S. Nagar, from the time of arrest of the petitioner till the time she was produced before the doctor at Civil Hospital, Kharar and the Ld. Court of JMIC, Kharar, she did not raise any allegation of molestation or bad touch at in support of the allegations made in the complaint." any stage. Nor she has been able to produce any witness or evidence allegations levelled by the petitioner were found to be false and baseless,

made with the sole intention to pressurize the police and to derive undue benefit in the present FIR No. 165 (supra). It is submitted that no police official was found to have committed any act of molestation against the petitioner at any point from the time of the alleged incident till she was remanded to judicial custody. Accordingly, finding no action required on the allegations levelled in Annexure P-2, the complaint was recommended to be filed in the office.”

- 2. In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.
- 3. Disposed of, leaving the petitioner with a liberty to avail of any alternate remedy, that may be available, in accordance with law, if so required.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

12.05.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No