



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

128

LPA-593-2026 (O & M)
Date of Decision:13.03.2026

PALWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS ...PETITIONERS
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Ferry Sofat, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondents No.1 and 2.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Appellants are assailing judgment dated 24.02.2026 passed by learned Single Judge, whereby their writ petition, questioning the transfer order dated 16.02.2026, Annexure P-1, has been dismissed.
2. Counsel for the appellants has been heard.
3. Transfer is an exigency of service. Employer is not required to give any reason for transferring an employee. Merely because appellants have been handpicked and transfered to some other police range in violation of some instructions, which are in the nature of guidelines, cannot be a ground for interference with the transfer order. By alleging *mala fides* against private respondents, attempt is being made by appellants to stall their transfer, which cannot be permitted. No ground is made out warranting interference in the judgment of writ court.
4. There is no merit in the appeal, which is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
sheetal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No