



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8451-2001 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.04.2026

M/s Hind Auto Clutches

....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Union Territory, Chandigarh and
another

....Respondents

CWP-6843-2001 (O&M)

M/s Hind Auto Clutches

....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Union Territory, Chandigarh and
another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
for the respondent-workman (in both cases).

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 18.11.2025, when no one had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner-Management, court notice was ordered to be issued.

2. As per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with a report, 'firm does not exist at given address'. It seems that, with the efflux of time, the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing the *lis*. Be that as it may, since earnest efforts have already been made to secure presence of the petitioner, which yielded no results, it is not feasible to adjudicate the matter.



3. In view the above, the instant writ petitions are **dismissed for want of prosecution.** However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to get the petitions revived by moving an apt motion/application(s).
4. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No