



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CWP-6569-2026

Date of Decision: 29.04.2026

SANDEEP KUMAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Singh Sidhu, Advocate on behalf of
Mr. Gopal Singh Nahel, Advocate for petitioners

Mr. Ishan Kaushal, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to official respondents to take action against private respondent Nos. 6 to 9 and register FIR(s) for committing fraud. They are further seeking direction to official respondents to recover petitioner's personal documents including passport and academic records which are lying in the possession of private respondents.

2. As per petitioners, private respondent No. 6 is the owner of an Immigration Company under the name of Rudraksh Group which has duped them on the false pretext of securing foreign Visa. The petitioners approached the Police Station to lodge FIR against respondent No.6 and his associates, however, the police did not register separate FIR(s) and only



recorded their statements under Section 180 of BNSS, 2023. They sent representation dated 07.04.2025 but to no avail.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Jaswant Singh, Assistant Sub Inspector, SAS Nagar submits that Police has already registered 52 FIRs against the private respondents. An SIT has been constituted vide order dated 29.08.2025. The petitioners may approach aforesaid SIT and put forth their stand.

4. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage, does not press the present petition.

5. The petition stands ***disposed of*** accordingly.

6. The Court is sanguine that SIT would conduct investigation in a fair and impartial manner. It is a case of cheating which is punishable under Sections 420 & 120B of IPC/Sections 318(4) & 61(2) of BNS. It is a predicate offence as per provisions of Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002. The matter be brought in the knowledge of Joint Director, Directorate of Enforcement, Chandigarh.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No