



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-6383-2026

Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

ANIL KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

PANDIT LAKHMI CHAND STATE UNIVERSITY OF PERFORMING
AND VISUAL ARTS, ROHTAK AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for respondents no.1 and 2.

Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Garg, Advocate,
Ms. Komal Parveen Singh, Advocate
for respondents no.3 and 4.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing respondent no.1/University to promote the petitioner to the post of Superintendent.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that grievance raised herein is pending for appropriate decision before the respondents. At this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case respondent no.2 is directed to decide the pending representation, dated 07.04.2025, Annexure P-17, in a specified period.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Learned counsel for respondents no.3 and 4 accepts notice.

Learned counsel for respondents no.1 and 2 also accepts notice and submits

that the pending representation, dated 07.04.2025, will be decided by respondent no.2/Registrar of the University, by passing a speaking/reasoned order in accordance with law within a period of three months.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.

6. Ordered accordingly.

7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

09.04.2026
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>