



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

148

INCOMP-1297-2026

Date of Decision: 01.07.2026

AJMER AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- None for the petitioners

Mr. Abhinav Kalia, DAG Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The aforesaid petition was filed on 25.02.2026 by Sh. Sanchit Punia, Advocate. The Registry had raised certain objections on the case file on 25.02.2026. The same was not taken back for re-filing after removal of objections.

2. As per Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V, Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, the petition on which objections have been raised by the Registry is to be taken back by the counsel/party, who filed it, to be re-filed within a total period of 40 days. On failure, the case is to be listed before the Court for orders.

3. A note was published by the Registry in the cause list, whereby the Advocates were requested to collect their cases lying with objections. As per office report, message at registered mobile number of the Advocate/his Clerk was also sent, however, nobody has come forward.

4. The instant case is shown in the cause list for today i.e. 01.07.2026, however, no one is present.
5. Keeping in view the aforesaid factual matrix, no further order is required to be passed. The file be consigned to the record.
6. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

July 01, 2026
Deepak DPA

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No