



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 117

CWP-6416-2026
Decided on: 05.03.2026

Seo Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

PRESENT: Mr. Harnirmal Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J.(ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularise the petitioner's services on the ground that he has been in continuous service since 28.02.2005, and grant him all consequential benefits along with interest from the due date.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied in case he is permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in light of the judgment in Civil Appeal No.14831 of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India*. All relevant particulars of the applicant's service which entitle him to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aakash Singla, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that in case any



such representation is filed by the petitioner within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by the Director, Secondary Education, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgment in *Jaggo* case *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.

5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioner.

05.03.2026

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No