



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-11608-2026

Date of decision: 30.04.2026

VIVEK SHARMA @ TANNU AND ANR.Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Naveen Kundu, Advocate for the petitioner.
(through V.C.)

Mr. Armaan Dahiya, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of FIR No.72, dated 15.06.2019 under Sections 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000 and 468 of the IPC registered at Police Station 13/17, Panipat (Annexure P-1), and all the subsequent proceedings including the police report/charge-sheet (Annexure P-2) and Magistrate trial No.CHI/1155/2020 (Annexure P-4) and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-5).

2. This Court vide order dated 06.04.2026 had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before

the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat and got their statements



recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 20.04.2026 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No.2 & 3 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed. Accordingly, FIR No.72, dated 15.06.2019 under Sections 66-D of Information Technology Act, 2000 and 468 of the IPC registered at Police Station 13/17, Panipat (Annexure P-1), and all the subsequent proceedings including the police report/charge-sheet (Annexure P-2) and Magistrate trial No.CHI/1155/2020 (Annexure P-4) and consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-5) are quashed qua the petitioners.

30.04.2026
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No