



**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.6396 of 2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.03.2026

DEEPAK

.....Petitioner

Versus

ESTATE OFFICER, U.T. CHANDIGARH ADMINISTRATION

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Himanshu Chhabra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate,
Addl. Standing Counsel for UT Chandigarh and
Ms. Ekakshra Mahajan Mandhar, Advocate,
Junior Panel Counsel, for the respondent-Chd. Admn.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the father of the petitioner was residing in Jhuggi No.119, Sector 25, Chandigarh for about 36 years. Later, the father of the petitioner was declared successful in the draw of lots and had been allotted a Plinth Site No.3458 at Sector 25-D Chandigarh under the Rehabilitation Scheme. The allotment letter was also issued to him on 20.03.2002 (Annexure P-2) but he unfortunately expired on 26.10.2005. The petitioner and his other siblings were minor at that time and the property remained unattended. The petitioner has sought permission to raise construction on the site, which has been rejected being time-barred. He further submits that no written order was passed by the competent authority rejecting his claim, rather he was orally informed about it. He also submits that the petitioner does not have any other residential site or flat in the Tricity. The petitioner had sent representation on 20.01.2026 (Annexure P-8) to the respondent for transfer of allotment of site in his name as legal

heir of his father but no action has been taken thereon. He, therefore, submits that this petition be disposed of with a direction to the respondent to consider and decide the representation sympathetically in a time bound manner.

2. Issue notice to the respondent.

3. Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate, Addl. Standing Counsel for UT Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

4. Heard.

5. In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances especially when petitioner was a minor at the time of the allotment of site in favour of his father, we deem it appropriate to dispose of this petition with a direction to the respondent to consider and decide the representation dated 20.01.2026 (Annexure P-8) preferred by the petitioner sympathetically by passing a speaking order within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

05.03.2026
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No