

2026:PHHC:034497



CRM-M-11836-2026 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(150)

CRM-M-11836-2026 (O & M)

Date of decision: 06.03.2026

(Through VC)

Ram Saroop

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Punjab and ors.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Gulrej Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS (Section 482 Cr.P.C.) is for issuance of directions to the official respondents for quashing of the complaint No.114-52/AGF dated 19.01.2026/20.01.2026 (Annexure P-3) filed by respondent No.6.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on the same cause of action, civil proceedings are already pending and therefore, the complaint (Annexure P-3) be quashed.

The learned State counsel, on the other hand, submits that the complaint (Annexure P-3) is simply a complaint moved by respondent No.6 wherein the enquiry is still pending and no FIR has yet been registered. Therefore, the same cannot be quashed as the enquiry must be taken to its logical conclusion.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2026:PHHC:034497



CRM-M-11836-2026 (O & M)

::2::

Firstly, mere pendency of a civil dispute does not mean that an FIR if registered ought to be quashed. Secondly, the complaint in question is still pending which has not yet fructified into an FIR. The question of quashing of the complaint does not arise as the enquiry is to be taken to its logical conclusion.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

March 06, 2026
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether reportable	: Yes/No