

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRM-M-11670-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 05.03.2026**

GAMDOOR SINGH AND DURA

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Gurjant Singh Bhullar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG Haryana.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL, J.

1. Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case arising out of FIR No. 103 dated 08.12.2025 under Section 74 Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 10 Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, Police Station Talwandi Chaudhrian, District Kapurthala. This is the first petition for anticipatory bail.
2. Complainant, mother of the minor prosecutrix aged 17 years, stated that on 01.12.2025, she and her husband were away for work and her minor daughter 'K' was in the house with her mother-in-law. At about 12.30 PM, her mother-in-law went to take bath, while 'K' was watching T.V. Gamdoor Singh @ Dura son of Amrik Singh came to the room of her daughter, made enquiry about her parents and finding her alone, grabbed her hands and did obscene acts. When she cried aloud, Gamdoor Singh ran away from the house. 'K' disclosed the incident to her mother-in-law and to them whey they returned home.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was innocent and the case was falsely planted. In fact, there were inimical relations between the parties as mother of the prosecutrix and her father were accused in criminal case arising out of FIR No. 47 dated 08.05.2018 under Sections 323, 324, 304, 148, 149 Indian Penal Code, Police Station Talwandi Chaudhrian, District Kapurthala, wherein petitioner's father Amrik Singh appeared as a witness to depose against them. Moreover, there was seven days delay in lodging the FIR. The manner in which the incident was narrated was not believable at all as petitioner could not have known that he would manage to find the prosecutrix alone at 12.30 PM in her house. It was thus prayed that petitioner be enlarged on anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail arguing that during the course of investigation, police recorded statement of the prosecutrix under Section 183 BNSS, wherein she supported the FIR version and stated that on 01.12.2025 at about 12.30 PM, petitioner came to her room and touched her inappropriately. It was urged that the gravity of allegations did not entitle the petitioner to the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. Petitioner is alleged to have committed aggravated sexual assault with the minor prosecutrix. In view of the nature of allegations against the petitioner and the *prima facie* material against him, he does not deserve the extraordinary concession of anticipatory bail. As such, the petition stands dismissed.

7. Nothing observed hereinabove shall be construed as an opinion on merits of the case.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

05.03.2026
Ajay Goswami

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	: Yes/No
<i>Whether reportable</i>	: Yes/No