



CRM-M-12034-2026 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

**CRM-M-12034-2026(O&M)
Decided on: 11.03.2026**

MANDEEP SINGH

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. G.S. Jagpal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 (old Section 482 of Cr.P.C.) seeking issuance of directions to official respondents to decide representation dated 15.02.2026 (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner and to close false complaint submitted by respondent No.4 as the same has been filed to pressurize the petitioner and his brother to compromise with respondent No.4 in case bearing FIR No.171, dated 07.10.2021 under Sections 341, 323, 324, 506, 148, 149 of IPC, 1860 (new Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118, 351(2), 191(2), 190 of BNS) (Sections 325, 201 of IPC, 1860 (117(2), 238 of BNS) were added later on) registered at Police Station Koom Kalan, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner and his brother are witnesses in a case registered against respondent No.4 titled as "State Vs. Talwinder Singh"; the said case was fixed for prosecution evidence and they were present for recording of their deposition but the same was not recorded and the case was adjourned on the request of counsel for the accused; the case is now fixed for 24.03.2026 but in the meantime, a complaint was moved by the accused to the Police Station and now the police is harassing them in



CRM-M-12034-2026 (O&M) -2-

collusion with the accused and they are being pressurized to compromise the matter. It is further contended that, a representation dated 15.02.2026 (Annexure P-4), in this regard, has already been moved to the authorities concerned, but no action has been taken so far. Learned counsel further submitted that the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to official respondent(s) to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submitted that the competent authority will take appropriate action on the representation of the petitioner, in accordance with law.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the statement made on behalf of learned counsel for the State, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4), in accordance with law.

7. Disposed of.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

11.03.2026

Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO