



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

206-A

CRM-M-11563-2026 (O&M)

Date of decision: 13.05.2026

Vinita Chauhan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Harsh Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

Mr. Jainainder Saini and Ms. Shilpa Saini, Advocates
for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.349 dated 11.11.2025, registered under Sections 108 & 3(5) BNS, at Police Station Sadar Hansi, District Hisar, Haryana.

2. On 09.03.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner, who is an accused in case FIR No. 349 dated 11.11.2025, registered under Sections 108, 3(5) of BNS, at Police Station Sadar, Hansi, has filed the present petition for grant of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that she has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel further submits that the husband of the petitioner has issued six signed blank cheques to the deceased and that the said cheques were never got dishonoured. Thus, the allegations pertaining to the instigation on the part of the petitioner, are totally without any jurisdiction. Learned counsel next contends that no prima facie case under Section 108 of BNS is made out against the petitioner. Learned counsel also contends that the husband of the petitioner, namely, Sunil Kumar, has already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by a coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 16.2.2026 in CRM-M-8416-2026. Nonetheless petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for.

Heard. Documents perused.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, Deputy Advocate General,

Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and prays for time to file status report whereas Mr. Navdeep Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of complainant.

In view of submissions advanced by learned counsel for petitioner, petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of petitioner, she shall be released on interim bail on her furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. She shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

List on 07.04.2026.

To be tagged with CRM-M-8416-2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Jai Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.03.2026 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

13.05.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No