



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(148)

CRM-M-13990-2026

Date of Decision :13.03.2026

Bhuria @ Bhura Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

The Bhiwani District Primary Co-op.
Agriculture and Rural Development Bank Ltd.

.....Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Ms. Mona Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS for quashing / setting aside the impugned order dated 02.01.2026 (Annexure P-1), passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bhiwani in CRA-03-2025, directing the petitioner to deposit 20% of the compensation amount under Section 148 of Negotiable Instruments Act in NACT-56-2022 and to direct that the suspension of sentence granted to the petitioner shall continue during the pendency of criminal appeal without insisting upon deposit of 20% of the compensation amount and also for staying the operation of the impugned direction dated 02.01.2026 (Annexure P-1).

2. Main contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the case of the petitioner falls within exceptional circumstances warranting waiver of the requirement of depositing 20% of the compensation awarded by the learned trial Court in light of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Jamboo Bhandari vs. M.P. State Industrial Development Corporation Ltd. and others** [2023(10) SCC 446].



3. Heard.
4. A perusal of the impugned order dated 01.02.2026 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Appellate Court reveals that the plea qua the fact of ratio of law laid down in **Jamboo Bhandari’s case (supra)** has already been duly considered by the learned first Appellate Court while imposing the condition of deposit of 20% of compensation amount. Petition does not find any mention qua the fact as to how the impugned order suffers illegality and how the petitioner is not in capacity to deposit the amount imposed upon him by the learned first Appellate Court.
5. Finding no illegality or infirmity in the order passed by the learned first Appellate Court, no interference is called for in the impugned order. Therefore, present petition is hereby **dismissed**.

13.03.2026	(SUBHAS MEHLA)
mamta	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No