



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No. 918 of 2026(O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.03.2026

Krishan

...Petitioner

VS

Mohd. Imran Raza, IAS

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Devika Kamboj, Advocate
for Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

1. The instant contempt petition alleges willful disobedience of directions issued vide order dated 22.08.2025 in CWP No. 4626 of 2024:-

“9. In view of the above discussion, in my considered opinion, the impugned order dated 30.01.2024 (Annexure P-10) passed by the learned Deputy Commissioner, Jind as well as order dated 21.02.2024 (Annexure P-11) passed by the learned Commissioner, Hisar Division, Hisar, are unsustainable in the eyes of law and the same are, accordingly, set aside. The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of with a direction to the learned Deputy Commissioner, Jind to conduct a fresh enquiry into the complaint submitted against the petitioner as regards his educational qualification and to examine the issue as to whether the matriculation certificate produced by the petitioner, is recognized by any Institution/Board, may be of any other State as well. Let the afore-said enquiry be conducted in accordance with law after affording due opportunity of hearing to all concerned. It is further directed that the afore-said enquiry be completed within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

2. On 27.02.2026, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana has produced a copy of order dated 22.01.2026 passed in compliance of the directions issued by the writ Court vide order dated 22.08.2025 passed in CWP-4626-2024.

However, the said order, despite stating that the enquiry had gone against the petitioner, does not state the effect thereof as a result of which, the petitioner may not be able to even challenge the same.

Learned State counsel prays for some time to seek instructions.

Adjourned to 16.03.2026.”

3. Today, learned Addl. AG., Haryana submits that a fresh order dated 11.03.2026 has been passed. As per the same, after conducting a fresh inquiry and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, a fresh decision has been taken. A copy of the same has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner who, after perusal of the same, states that the petitioner does not press the present contempt petition and shall, if so advised, avail all such remedies as shall be admissible in law against the said order.

4. In view of the statement given by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 16, 2026
Rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No2