



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-6285-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.05.2026

Gurudwara Sahib Shri Guru Nanak, Darbar Sabha**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Punjab and others****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: - Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioner


Mr. Ishan Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab

Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.7 & 8

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to police authorities not to interfere in the matter which is pending before Civil Court.

2. As per pleadings, the petitioner is a Society registered under Societies Registration Act, 1860 as amended by Punjab Amendment Act, 1957. It is managing affairs of Gurudwara Sahib which was constructed with the contribution of devotees. Respondent Nos.6 to 8 in connivance with certain officials executed a Special Power of Attorney ('SPA') in respect of land measuring 2 Kanals 10 Malas. On the basis of aforesaid SPA, sale deed dated 13.10.2024 was executed and mutation was sanctioned in favour of respondent No.8. The aforesaid transaction was projected in the name of Satnam Trust which has already been dissolved by Canadian Authorities in 2012. The petitioner preferred Civil Suit on 08.11.2024 before Civil Court, Gurdaspur which is still pending. Validity of aforesaid SPA, sale deed and



mutation is subject matter of suit pending before Civil Court. The police authorities, at the behest of respondent No.8, are harassing petitioner despite pendency of Civil Suit.

3. Learned State counsel submits that matter has been inquired by Senior Superintendent of Police, Gurdaspur. The dispute between the petitioner and private respondents is purely civil in nature and police authorities are not going to interfere.

4. Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate appeared and filed his Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent Nos.7 & 8. The same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

5. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.7 and 8 submits that Civil Suit filed by petitioner is pending before Civil Judge, (Junior Division), Gurdaspur. Respondent Nos.7 and 8 have filed suit for possession which is also pending.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, no order is warranted. The petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

19.05.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No