



225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No. 928 of 2002 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 20.04.2026

AMARJIT RAI SOOD AND OTHERS

.....APPELLANTS

Vs.

BAKHTAUR RIKH CHELA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellants.

Service of respondent No. 1 is not necessary
in terms of the order dated 08.12.2003.

None for respondent No. 2.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, there was no representation on behalf of the appellants.
2. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.
3. As per the office report, notice issued to the appellants, as well as respondent No. 2, has been received back unserved with the report that their addresses are incomplete, and the earlier counsel has been duly informed; however, no one has appeared on behalf of the appellants.
4. Keeping in view the above, this Court is left with no other option but to proceed against the appeal for non-prosecution, as no one is coming forward to pursue the same.



- 5. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.
- 6. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to move an appropriate application for revival of the present appeal, if they so desire, in accordance with law.
- 7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

APRIL 20, 2026

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)

nitin

JUDGE

Whether Speaking

Yes

Whether Reportable

No