

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-7197-2001 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.04.2026

Shyam Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Gurgaon and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate, and
Mr. A.P. Nahar, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 25.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“None is present on behalf of either of the
contesting parties.*

*In view of the above, let Court notice be issued to
the petitioner as well as respondent No.2, for
08.04.2026.”*

2. Consequently, Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate, along with Mr. A.P. Nahar, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of respondent No.2. However, as per office report, notice issued to the petitioner has been received back unserved with a report, ‘inappropriate address’. This Court has already made earnest efforts to secure presence of the petitioner, but to no avail. In such circumstances, it is not feasible to adjudicate the matter.

3. In view the above, the instant writ petition is **dismissed for want of prosecution**. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to get this petition revived by moving an apt motion/application.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

08.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No