



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

CRM-M-13747-2026 (O&M)

Date of Decision :13.03.2026

Nischay Narwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Veena Rani and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Ankur Dua, Advocate for the petitioner.


SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

The learned counsel for the petitioner has filed this petition seeking for quashing of the order dated 27.01.2026, whereby the request of petitioner for registration of FIR has been declined.

The record shows that vide above mentioned order the learned Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtak has issued a direction that instead of forwarding the complaint to the police, for registration of FIR, the complainant shall have to lead preliminary evidence.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that instead of pressing this petition for quashing of above mentioned order he would like to withdraw it. However, he has requested that a direction be given to the learned trial Court to expedite the trial as the complaint is fixed for preliminary evidence for almost three years.

In view of above mentioned submissions the present petition is



hereby dismissed as withdrawn. However, the learned trial Court is hereby directed to expedite the trial. The learned trial Court is directed to record the preliminary evidence at the earliest and after recording preliminary evidence pass an appropriate order thereupon, within a period of three months, from the next date fixed by it in the complaint.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

13.03.2026
Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No