

**CRM-M-11359-2026 (O&M)****-1-****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****142****CRM-M-11359-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.04.2026**

Khushpreet Singh alias Khushi alias Ghoni and Others

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. J.S.Moudgill, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer made in the present petition for quashing the impugned order dated 31.01.2026, Annexure P-7, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur, in case FIR No.68 dated 12.05.2024 registered under Sections 307, 324, 323, 341, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Sections 326, 201 IPC added later on) [corresponding Sections 109, 118(1), 117(2), 126, 351 (2), 191, 190 of BNS] at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur, vide which bail bonds of the petitioners have been cancelled and non-bailable warrants were issued them.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners were granted regular bail by the trial Court vide orders dated 18.07.2024, 06.07.2024, 26.07.2024 and 31.01.2025, respectively and they were regularly appearing before the trial Court. Thereafter, they could not appear before the trial Court on 31.01.2026 as they had wrongly noted the date as 09.02.2026, leading to cancellation of bail, forfeiture of bonds and issuance of non-bailable warrants vide order dated 31.01.2026, Annexure P-7. It is further submitted that after registration of the FIR, the complainant entered into a Panchayati Compromise with the petitioners on 23.11.2024. As both the parties have compromised the matter, they have filed the



CRM-M-11359-2026 (O&M)

-2-

quashing petition before this Court by way of CRM-M-4416-2025 titled as “Kamal Sharma and Others vs. State of Punjab”, wherein direction has been issued to the parties to get their statements recorded. Their non-appearance was neither intentional nor deliberate but due to the aforesaid bonafide reason. They are ready and willing to join the proceedings on or before the next date of hearing and pray for grant of one opportunity for them to surrender before the trial Court, even if the same is subject to costs.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and submits that the warrants of arrest have been rightly issued against the petitioners, as they had absented themselves from the proceedings.

5. Heard.

6. The very purpose of issuance of warrants of arrest, is to compel and secure the presence of the accused to face trial and establish the rule of law, as also to ensure finalization of the proceedings.

7. This Court in CRM-M-36490-2022, titled as **Major Singh vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 15.09.2022, CRM-M-38277-2022, **Surjit Singh vs. State of Punjab**, dated 26.08.2022 and CRM-M-39000-2022, **titled as Raghav vs. State of Punjab**, decided on 09.09.2022, had set aside the orders of non-bailable warrants.

8. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, judgments referred to hereinabove, the readiness and willingness of the petitioners to surrender and join the proceedings, which was incumbent upon them for the culmination of the same, the present petition deserves to be and is allowed, in the interest of justice, so as to facilitate expediting the trial and for taking it to its logical end.

**CRM-M-11359-2026 (O&M)****-3-**

9. As a consequence of the aforesaid, the impugned order dated 31.01.2026, Annexure P-7, is set aside.

10. The petitioners are directed to surrender before the learned trial Court on or before 20.04.2026 and deposit Rs.10,000/- (each) as costs with Sai Aasra Paraplegic Rehab Centre (Kotak Mahindra Bank, Branch Sector 27 D, Chandigarh, Account No: 9612001641, IFSC: KKBK0004201, whereupon, they be released on the same bail/surety bonds as had been furnished by them at the time of granting bail. They are also directed to furnish an undertaking by way of an affidavit that they will appear on each and every date of hearing before the learned trial Court, unless specifically exempted by the Court. They shall not leave the country without prior permission of the Court. The trial Court may impose any other condition that it may deem appropriate in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

11. The present petition is accordingly disposed of.

12. Before parting with this order, it is made abundantly clear that in case the petitioners do not adhere to the aforesaid, the present petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed without any reference to this Court.

April 06, 2026
tripti

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No