



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-11664-2026

Date of decision: 20.04.2026

PAVAN KUMAR GUPTA @ PAWAN KUMAR GUPTA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.20 dated 23.01.2026 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Old Faridabad, District Faridabad.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 02.04.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. On 02.04.2026, following order had been passed: -

" Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.20 dated 23.01.2026 registered under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, at Police Station Old Faridabad, District Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner has only 50% share in M/s Sai Vatika Realtech Marketing Pvt. Ltd and he has no connection with SPR Realtech Pvt. Ltd. He argued that the alleged agreements in question pertain to the year 2013- 2015 but the FIR in question



was registered on 23.01.2026 i.e. after a delay of 11 years, casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. He further argued that if the contents of the FIR are taken to be true, even then the dispute in the present case is of civil nature, which has been given criminal colour by registering the present FIR. He argued that the petitioner got bail in another FIR of similar offence by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 24.03.2026. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to pay 50% of the sale consideration to the complainant i.e. equivalent to his share. No recovery is to be effected from the petitioner. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has already filed the status report in the matter and while referring to the same, he has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Adjourned to 20.04.2026.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from PSI Manish, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 02.04.2026 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

20.04.2026
puneet

PUNEET SHARMA
2026.04.21 16:28
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

i) Whether speaking/reasoned?
ii) Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No