



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M No.11477 of 2026
Date of decision : 19.3.2026
Date of uploading : 20.3.2026

AshishPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 27.2.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.455 dated 25.12.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 351(2), 3(5), 115, 126 of BNS 2023 at Police Station Sadar Jind, District Jind; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner contends that the petition in hand is the second plea for grant of anticipatory bail as the first petition was withdrawn so as to enable the petitioner to file afresh after giving complete antecedents which has been done in the instant petition, further relies upon the order dated 18.02.2026 passed in CRM-M-9528-2026 (Annexure P-4) & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Haryana.



Adjourned to 19.03.2026. To be heard alongwith CRM-M-9528-2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 07.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.'

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 27.2.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation but his custodial interrogation is required for recovering the weapons in question.
3. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record, especially keeping in view the factum that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is sought only for recovery of the weapon allegedly used in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 27.2.2026.
4. In view of the above, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 27.2.2026, passed by this Court is hereby confirmed, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
5. This order should not be treated as "blanket" order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any



other sufficient cause.

7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

19.3.2026
Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No