



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

265

CWP-6027-2025

Date of decision: 22.04.2026

Ramesh Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present : Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate with
Ms. Kulwinder Pal Kaur Gill, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of certiorari for quashing the order dated 24.09.2024 (Annexure P-16), whereby the claim of the petitioners for the change of nomenclature from Vocational Masters to Vocational Lecturers has been rejected. The petitioners have also prayed for reconsidering their matter after taking into consideration the orders and decision of the Secretary, Education on the office file as per RTI reply dated 09.05.2024 (Annexure P-17), and they may kindly be designated as Vocational Lecturers and be considered for promotion to the post of Principals under the Punjab Education Service (School & Inspector Cadre) General Group A Service (First Amendment) Rules, 2018 (First Amendment) Rules, 2020 (Annexure P-10).

2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 08.10.2025, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for respondent submits that vide notification dated 18.09.2025, the nomenclature of Vocational Masters have been re-designated as Vocational Lecturer and as such, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Upon request of learned counsel for the petitioners, adjourned to 17.10.2025.

To be taken up immediately after the urgent list.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the petitioner have been re-designated as Vocational Lecturers and the prayer clause (ii) has been rendered infructuous, however, liberty may be granted to the petitioners to claim other benefits by submitting a detailed representation to the respondents.

4. Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

5. However, if any such representation is submitted by the petitioners within a period of one month from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of by the competent authority within a period of four months from its receipt.

22.04.2026

Vinay

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No