



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(221)

Date of Decision:-24.03.2026

1. FAO-1154-2000(O&M)

Rakesh Kumari and Others

.....Appellants

Versus

Kalyan Singh and Others

.....Respondents

2. FAO-1155-2000(O&M)

Rakesh Kumari and Others

.....Appellants

Versus

Promila Devi and Others

.....Respondents

3. FAO-1156-2000(O&M)

Rakesh Kumari and Others

.....Appellants

Versus

Mamta Rani and Others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ishan Chopra, Advocate,
for the appellants in all cases.

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the appellants in all the cases submits that despite repeated efforts, he has not been able to establish contact with the appellants. Even today, learned counsel expresses his inability to proceed further in the matters, as he continues to have no instructions or communication from the appellants.



2. It, therefore, appears that the appellants are not interested in prosecuting the present appeals.
3. In the aforesaid circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the present appeals pending on the docket for an indefinite period.
4. Accordingly, all the three appeals are dismissed in default for want of prosecution.
5. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to seek revival of the appeals in accordance with law, in case any sufficient cause survives for consideration.
6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected cases.

(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

24.03.2026
Shubham

Whether speaking/reasoned:-	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:-	Yes/No