



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

141

CRM-M-12116-2025(O&M)
Decided on :22.04.2026

Ismail and others

Versus

.....Petitioners

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Anas Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. P.K. Jhanda, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Robin Lohan, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for respondents No 2 to 4.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

1. Instant petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS, seeking quashing of the below detailed First Information Report (FIR), and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of the compromise (P-2), effected between the parties.

DETAILS OF CRIMINAL CASE:-

FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station
225	05.08.2020	148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 452 and 506 IPC (Sections 34 and 307 IPC were added later on)	Sadar Tauru, Nuh

2. Vide order dated 20.01.2026, the affected parties were directed to appear before the learned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, for getting their respective statements recorded with regard to the compromise.



3. Report has since been received from learned District and Sessions Judge, Nuh in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondent(s) has also made statement to the effect that he has no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons arrayed as accused in the case	As per the statement of Investigating officer, complainant/injured Hakam s/o Israil, presented written complaint against nine person. During investigation conducted by Shri Amit Bhatia, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rewari, Six accused persons namely, Hakiman w/o Mohabbat, Asubi w/o Ismail, Wakila w/o Tahir, Aasif, Sehdab s/o Mohabbat and Nasir @ Fuddan were declared innocent. After completion of investigation, final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C., as filed against three accused persons namely, Mohabbat s/o Isha, Ismail s/o Isha and Tahir s/o Isha



2.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes all the accused and complainant/victims are party to compromise and recorded their statements.
3.	Whether any accused has been declared as PO or any such proceedings have been initiated or pending decisions;	As per statement of Investigating officer,none of th accused has been declared as proclaimed offender/proclaimed person in the present case.
4.	Stage of trial/proceedings;	Case is now fixed for prosecution evidence
5.	Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?	Parties have made statements regarding compromise voluntarily without any pressure

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused in the FIR in question, and that the private respondents are complainant/aggrieved party therein.
6. Learned State counsel does not dispute the aforesaid factual position and affirms the same during the course of arguments.
7. In view of the report of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Nuh, and the principles laid down by Hon’ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.
8. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below



CRM-M-12116-2025(O&M)

4

9. Petition stands disposed of.

22.04.2026
Rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**