



CR-2106-2026 (O&M)

- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CR-2106-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 10.03.2026**

GURVINDERJEET KAUR GILL**....Petitioner****Versus****GURSHAN SINGH AND OTHERS****...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present : Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Kanish Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**CM No.4978-CII-2026**

1. Present application under Section 151 CPC has been filed for placing on record the interim orders passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division) Ludhiana (Annexures P-7 to P-15).

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Annexures P-7 to P-15 are taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

CR-2106-2026 (O&M)

3. The present civil revision has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 26.09.2025 passed by the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana in Civil Suit No. CS-896-2020, titled *Gurvinderjit Kaur Gill Vs. Gurshan Singh and Others*, vide which evidence of the plaintiff was ordered to be closed by order, as also the order dated 28.11.2025, vide which the application for review was dismissed.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the material place on the file.



CR-2106-2026 (O&M)

- 2-

5. In view of the nature of the order proposed to be passed, issuance of notice to the respondents is dispensed with, as it would only delay the proceedings and cause unnecessary expenditure to the respondents.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is a 65-year-old widow seeking a declaration of joint ownership regarding House No. 2789, Gurdev Nagar, Ludhiana, and challenging a transfer deed dated 23.05.2019. Learned counsel further contended that on 26.09.2025, the petitioner had engaged a new counsel who submitted a Power of Attorney and requested for an adjournment to produce the remaining evidence. However, the learned Trial Court declined the request and closed the evidence on the same day. Learned counsel next contended that the review application filed on 17.10.2025 was wrongly dismissed on 28.11.2025, ignoring the fact that the petitioner had reposed faith in her previous counsel, whose waning interest led to the procedural default.

7. There is considerable force in the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner.

8. A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioner has already examined herself as PW1 and produced PW2, besides tendering various documents. Given that the dispute involves substantial property rights and the petitioner is a widow, alleging fiduciary breach and fraud, she deserves an effective opportunity to lead and conclude her remaining evidence to ensure fair play and natural justice. The closure of evidence appears to be a harsh approach, without considering the necessity of granting a reasonable opportunity to the plaintiff to lead evidence. Therefore, the impugned orders are not sustainable.

9. In view of the above, the present revision petition is allowed and the



CR-2106-2026 (O&M)

- 3-

impugned orders dated 26.09.2025 and 28.11.2025 are hereby set aside. Learned Trial Court is directed to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner to lead and conclude her remaining evidence, subject to payment of Rs.5,000/- as costs to the respondents.

10.03.2026
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No