



CRM-M-12056-2026 &
CRM-M-4042-2026

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

231

CRM-M-12056-2026

Date of Decision: 04.05.2026

Yash

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

231a

CRM-M-4042-2026

Date of Decision: 04.05.2026

Arjun

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner
in CRM-M-12056-2026.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Saransh Sabharwal, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-4042-2026.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh
assisted by ASI Pawan Kumar.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. By this order, the two above-mentioned petitions are disposed of, both having arisen out of the same FIR.

2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of filing the present petitions praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.88 dated 09.05.2024, registered under Section 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 506, 307 and 34 IPC (Section 302 IPC added lateron), at Police Station Sector 31, Chandigarh.

3. As per the facts of the case the present case was registered on the statement of complainant, namely, Roop Lal @ Nitin Kumar, father of deceased Vikas @ Rohit. It was alleged that he along with his son Vikas @ Rohit used to work as Carpenter. On 08.05.2024, he along with his son



CRM-M-12056-2026 &
CRM-M-4042-2026

-2-

Rohit had gone to Zirakpur for their work. At about 7:15 p.m.-7:30 p.m., he returned home along with his son after finishing their work and his son Rohit @ Vikas left the house for Ravi Dass Temple, Ram Darbar to pay obeisance. It was further that after some time, a friend of his son disclosed to him on telephone that Aryan, Ravi, Rihan, Aryan, Rowdy, Arjun (petitioner in CRM-M-4042-2026)), Chintu, Armaan, Yash @ Golu (petitioner in CRM-M-12056-2026) who are also residents of Ram Darbar, attacked his son with wooden stick and Chopper like arms due to some old grudge and had caused injuries to him. The said friend of his son further informed him that he had taken his son to GMCH-32 for treatment. On hearing the same, the complainant immediately reached GMCH-32 and attended his son. At that time, his son was speaking and when he asked about the persons who had attacked him, his son disclosed the names of Aryan, Rowdy, Chintu, Aryan, Arman, Rihan, Arjun, Yash @ Golu, Ravi, Sunny and told that said boys in furtherance of their common intention attacked him with stick, chopper etc. due to some old grudge. Thus, request was made to take legal action against the accused persons. On the registration of the FIR, the investigation commenced. The petitioners were arrested on 09.05.2024. Injured Vikas @ Rohit succumbed to the injuries on 18.05.2024. Petitioners approached learned Additional Sessions Judge, Chandigarh praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, their applications were declined after hearing both the sides vide order dated 20.05.2025 and 23.12.2025, respectively. Aggrieved by the same, petitioners are before this Court by way of filing of present petitions.

4. It has been vehemently contended by learned counsel for the



CRM-M-12056-2026 &
CRM-M-4042-2026

-3-

petitioners that petitioner Yash had been alleged to be part of the unlawful assembly and he has not attributed any overact act. It is further contended that petitioner Arjun though alleged to be armed with a stick, but he has not caused any injury to anyone. To buttress his arguments, he has submitted that co-accused Ravi Kumar has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 26.11.2025. He has further submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedents. He has contended that eye-witness Varun has been examined as PW-2 before the trial Court, but he has not supported the case of the prosecution. He contends that all the material witnesses already stands examined. It is, thus, submitted that in the overall facts and circumstances, the petitioners deserve to be granted regular bail.

5. Status/additional status reports by way of affidavit of Gurjeet Kaur, DSP, South Division filed in Court in both cases are taken on record.

Per contra, learned State counsel has vehemently controverted the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners. It is submitted that the petitioners have played an active role in the commission of offence. On instructions, he submits that out of total 31 prosecution witnesses, 08 witnesses have been examined till date. He has placed on record the custody certificates of the petitioners.

6. After hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioners were alleged to be part of the unlawful assembly. Petitioner Arjun was alleged to be armed with a stick, but he has not been attributed any injury to any one, whereas, no role has been attributed to petitioner Yash. The custody certificates of the petitioners would show that they have suffered incarceration of 01 year, 11 months &



CRM-M-12056-2026 &
CRM-M-4042-2026

-4-

24 days as on 03.05.2026 and they have no criminal antecedents. Out of 31 prosecution witnesses, 08 witnesses have been examined till date, out of them eye witness Varun has not supported the case of the prosecution.

7. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficient long time. Keeping in view the arguments raised by both the sides and perusing the record, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail to the petitioners.

8. Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

9. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

04.05.2026
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No