



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M No.11278 of 2026
Date of decision : 30.3.2026
Date of uploading : 1.4.2026

Hawalati Rajbir SinghPetitioner
Versus
State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Baljinder Singh Sra, Addl. AG, Punjab

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. On 26.2.2026, the following order was passed:

'Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.364 dated 23.08.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 191(3), 190 of BNS 2023 and Section 42 of Prisons Act, 1894 (Section 118(2) added later on), at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question; assuming arguendo, the prosecution version available at this juncture is taken to be correct, the petitioner is merely ascribed the role of being present at the spot and having pushed the opposite side & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein as per law.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent-State of Punjab/Haryana.

Adjourned to 30.03.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 06.03.2026 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting



Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023. '

2. Learned State counsel (on instructions) submits that pursuant to the order dated 26.2.2026, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
3. In view of the above, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 26.2.2026. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed. The interim order dated 26.2.2026, passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.
4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
5. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
6. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

30.3.2026

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No