



COC-916-2026

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(120)

COC-916-2026

Date of decision: - 16.03.2026

Manish Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Gurinder Singh Sodhi

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the respondent-State has submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the order dated 13.03.2026 has been passed by the Director School Education (Secondary), Punjab and a copy of the said order has been handed over to the Court and is taken on record and has been marked as "Mark A". A copy of the said order has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. It is submitted that as per the said order, a decision has been taken in favour of the petitioner and the same reads as under: -

7.0 It is further submitted that the petitioner Smt. Manish Kaur, Lect. English GSSS Badali Ala Singh, Fatehgarh Sahib has applied through the portal opened monthly for teachers covered under Exempted Category and the data of the employee has also been approved by the School Head/DDO as the employee is covered under Exempted Category. The certificates of the teachers who have applied through the portal shall be checked by the committee and the teachers will be given station choice



COCP-916-2026

-2-

and transfer will be considered as per the policy.

*Keshav Goyal, PCS
Director School Education (Secondary) Punjab*

End. No. as above 202670609-11

Dated 13.03.2026”

2. It is further submitted that the petitioner, who was working in SAS Nagar (Mohali), was posted at Fatehgarh Sahib and in pursuance of the above-said decision taken on 13.03.2026, the petitioner would be posted at SAS Nagar (Mohali), which the petitioner has prayed for. It is stated that needful would be done within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but the respondent be bound by the statement made before this Court and liberty be granted to the petitioner to revive the present contempt petition in case the respondent back track from the said statement.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present contempt petition is disposed of. The respondent-State would be bound by the statement made before this Court.

5. Needless to say that in case, the respondent-State back track from the said statement, then, the petitioner would be at liberty to file revival application.

March 16, 2026
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No