



CRM-M-12554-2026 (O&M)

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

121+246

CRM-M-12554-2026 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.05.2026

SIMRANJEET KAUR AND ANR

.... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Athar Ahmed, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

CRM-12713-2026

Allowed as prayed for. Annexures P-4 to P-7 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. The Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place on the file.

Main Case:-

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.42 dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406, 494, 120-B of the IPC, 1860 (corresponding Sections 318(4), 316(2), 82(2) and 61(1) of BNS, 2023) at Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan, District Gurdaspur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners and respondent No.2.

2. Vide order dated 09.03.2026, this Court had directed the parties



to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 17.01.2026 (Annexure P-2).

3. The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

4. Pursuant to the order dated 09.03.2026 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur and as per the report dated 25.03.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

5. A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complaint coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

6. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurdaspur accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.42 dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 420, 406, 494, 120-B of the IPC, 1860 (corresponding Sections 318(4), 316(2), 82(2) and 61(1) of BNS, 2023) at Police Station Bhaini Mian Khan, District



Gurdaspur along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

7. Petition stands disposed of.
8. The pending miscellaneous applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

08.05.2026
Kusum

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>