

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(222)

RSA-2153-2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-06.05.2026

RAKESH CHAND AND ANOTHER

... Appellants

Versus

SURJIT SINGH

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIRINDER AGGARWAL

Present: None.

VIRINDER AGGARWAL, J. (Oral)

1. None is present on behalf of the parties. Learned counsel for the parties were informed about the date fixed but none appeared for the parties. This is an appeal arising out of a suit for permanent injunction instituted in the year 1997. It seems that parties have lost interest in pursuing the appeal.
2. In such circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the case on the docket, indefinitely.
3. Accordingly, the instant appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.
4. As a natural corollary, since the main case stand dismissed for want of prosecution of, all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, as no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping them pending.

06th May, 2026
Poonam

(VIRINDER AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes / No
Yes / No