



127 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1910-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.03.2026

POONAM SHARMA THROUGH SPECIAL POWER OF ATTORNEY
VINOD SHARMA

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

INDRA ANEJA AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Anshul Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

CM-5437-CII-2026

The present application for preponement of the main case
has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

CR-1910-2026

1. This civil revision petition under Article 227 of the
Constitution of India has been filed for setting aside/quashing the order
dated 19.02.2026 (Annexure P-6) passed in CS/263/2019 titled *Poonam
Sharma vs. Indra Aneja and others* by the Court of the learned Civil
Judge (Junior Division), Faridabad, whereby the application filed by the
petitioner seeking permission to record the evidence of the plaintiff
through video conferencing has been dismissed.



2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the application moved by the petitioner/plaintiff for her examination through video conferencing mode has been rejected on a technical ground as it has not been filed as per the provisions of High Court Rules and Orders and he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh application after complying with the said requirements.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty. In case, any such application is moved by the petitioner, the same shall be decided afresh on merits after hearing all the parties.
4. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

23.03.2026
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No