

CRM-M-10911-2026

2026:PHHC:049077



**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10911-2026

Date of decision: March 30, 2026

Harmandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Tejbir Singh Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amritpal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab.

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short “BNSS”), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.272 dated 19.12.2024 registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 324(4), 191(3) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 351(3) of the BNS added later on vide GD No.41 dated 08.09.2025), registered at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot.

CRM-M-10911-2026

2. On 11.03.2026, following order had been passed: -

“Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.272 dated 19.12.2024 registered under Sections 331(6), 115(2), 324(4), 191(3) and 190 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Section 351(3) of the BNS added later on vide GD No.41 dated 08.09.2025), at Police Station Jaito, District Faridkot.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submitted that the petitioner was not named in the FIR. It has also been contended that the present petitioner has been nominated in this case as an accused only upon the supplementary statement of the complainant that too after a gap of 09 months from the registration of the FIR, casting serious doubt on the prosecution story. He argued that no injury has been attributed to the present petitioner and the main injury has been attributed to co-accused Gurbhej Singh, which has been declared simple in nature. He further argued that Section 115(2) of BNS was added by the prosecution only to make the offence graver. Further, co-accused Rajeev Singh has already been granted the concession of interim bail by this Court, vide order dated 06.03.2026. No recovery is to be effected from him. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed the status report in the matter, which is taken on record and while referring to the same, he has opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail, by submitting that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Adjourned to 30.03.2026.

CRM-M-10911-2026

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 11.03.2026 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 11.03.2026 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

March 30, 2026
Rts

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No