



124 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-2563-2564-2026 in/and
LPA-1044-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.04.2026

CHANDER PAL AND ANOTHERAppellants
VERSUS
THE FINANCIAL COMMISSIONER, HARYANA AND OTHERS
.....Respondent
CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate for the appellants.
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Vipul Sharma,
Advocate for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the appellant submits that the view taken by the learned Single Judge while passing the order dated 23.01.2026 in CWP No. 31054 of 2024 was contrary to the view taken by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in CWP No. 10667 of 2017 titled "*Ram Singh and ors. Vs. State of Haryana and ors.*", decided on 18.05.2017, which judgment could not be brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge at the time of passing the order dated 23.01.2026 hence, the present appeal may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further with liberty file review before the learned Single Judge and in case, even after the decision of the review, the appellant is still prejudiced, to take appropriate remedy as per law.

2. Ordered accordingly.

3. Miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

20-04-2026
Sapna Goyal

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/ reasoned: YES
Whether reportable: NO