

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2026.PHHC.055378



CRM-M-11350-2026 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.04.2026

BITTA SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Samay Singh Sandhawalia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Surinderjit Singh Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (439 Cr.P.C.) in FIR No.511 dated 05.12.2025, under Sections 21C and 29 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act registered at Police Station City Faridkot, District Faridkot.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Harsimran Singh @ Harry was apprehended during patrolling by the Police party and 255 gms of heroin along with one motorcycle and one computer scale was recovered from him. The petitioner has been nominated in the present FIR on the basis of the disclosure statement made by the co-accused.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is contended that the petitioner has been nominated solely on the basis of the disclosure statement of the co-accused. The petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 29 days and is not involved in any other case.

4. Per contra, learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations.

Learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of affidavit of Tarlochan

Singh, Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Faridkot, District Faridkot and custody certificate in Court today, which are taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for the last 03 months and 29 days.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing the rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case that apart from the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence to connect the petitioner with the alleged recovery of contraband; the petitioner is in custody for more than 03 months and 29 days; the trial of the case is yet to commence and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

9. It is clarified that while on bail so granted through the instant order the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

09.04.2026
Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No