



133 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-17739-2026 in/and
CRM-M-11394-2026
Date of decision: 28.04.2026**

MANISH GARG AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Naveen Nandlal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Satbir Singh Goripuria, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-17739-2026

Heard.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.

Main case is preponed from 18.05.2026 and is ordered to be taken
on board today itself.

MAIN CASE

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.283 dated
05.04.2022 under Sections 506, 323, 148 and 149 of BNS, 2023 registered at
Police Station Chandnibagh, District Panipat (Annexure P-1) and all
subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated
20.02.2026 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.



**CRM-17739-2026 in/and
CRM-M-11394-2026**

2

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Mukesh Kumar.

3. On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through his counsel and pleaded that he has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Panipat along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

8. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.



CRM-17739-2026 in/and
CRM-M-11394-2026

9. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in *Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543* and Five Judges Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.283 dated 05.04.2022 under Sections 506, 323, 148 and 149 of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Chandnibagh, District Panipat and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.20,000/- (Rs.4,000/- each) as cost, to be deposited with Indian Red Cross Society having Account No.3578152035, Central Bank of India, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh, IFSC CBIN0280412.

10. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 28.05.2026, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

April 28, 2026
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i)	Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
(ii)	Whether reportable	Yes/No