



**CM-4516-CWP-2023;
CM-4517-CWP-2023; and
CM-10279-CWP-2025 in/ and
RA-CW-72-2023 in
CWP-641-2018**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM-4516-CWP-2023;
CM-4517-CWP-2023; and
CM-10279-CWP-2025 in/ and
RA-CW-72-2023 in
CWP-641-2018
Date of decision:-10.04.2026**

Kailash Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL
HON' BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**

Present: Mr. H.S. Dhandi, Advocate
for the review applicant/petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

**CM-4516-CWP-2023 in
RA-CW-72-2023**

1. For the reasons given in the application, it is allowed.
2. Delay of 28 days in filing of the review application is condoned.

RA-CW-72-2023(O&M)

3. By virtue of the review application, which has been filed through a new counsel, petitioner is attempting to re-argue the matter, which cannot be permitted. This Court does not find any error on the



**CM-4516-CWP-2023;
CM-4517-CWP-2023; and
CM-10279-CWP-2025 in/ and
RA-CW-72-2023 in
CWP-641-2018**

face of the record.

4. There is no merit in the review application, which is dismissed.

5. Pending miscellaneous application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

**(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE**

**10.04.2026
Brij**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No