



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

134

RFA-653-2026(O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.03.2026

GURWINDER SINGH

... Appellant

V/S

KHUSHVEER KAUR

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. R. S. Dhillon, Advocate and
Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

CM-1347-CI-2026

1. There is a delay of 81 days in filing the present appeal.
2. In view of the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 81 days in filing the present appeal is condoned.

Main appeal.

3. Having heard learned counsel for the appellant and having perused the judgment, wherein we find that the respondent-wife has been awarded maintenance @ Rs.10,000/- per month, while duly noticing that the respondent is possessed on 5 acres of land, we are of the opinion that the amount of maintenance as assessed is a reasonable amount and cannot be said to be exorbitant.
4. As such, we do not find any infirmity in the impugned order and the same is upheld. However, it is clarified that in case, the respondent has been awarded maintenance in any other proceedings including the proceedings under Section 125 of Cr.P.C. or under Protection of Women



RFA-653-2026(O&M)

-2-

from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, such maintenance would be required to be adjusted against the amount awarded in the present proceedings. In other words, the maintenance is not required to be paid twice over and it is the higher amount be it in any proceedings, which would be required to be paid by the appellant to the respondent.

6. Disposed of accordingly.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

18.03.2026
pooja saini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No