

234

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-1255-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.04.2026

HARMANDER SINGH ALIAS RAJMANDER SINGH AND OTHERS
...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI


Present:- Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate and
Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Madhav Mehrotra, Advocate
(Through Video Conferencing) and
Mr. Rohan Sachdev, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the issue involved in the present case has already been decided by this Court in a bunch of Civil Revision Petitions with the lead case bearing No. **CR-3884-2023**, titled **Yashpreet Singh Versus Union Of India and Another**, on **20.04.2026**, wherein it has been held that the territorial jurisdiction of the Court to hear the objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, shall be at Faridkot and not at Bathinda and therefore, the present Civil Revision Petition may be allowed in the same terms.

2. Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2, who has joined the proceedings through video conferencing, does not dispute the aforesaid factual position.



3. In view of the above, the present Civil Revision Petition is allowed in the same terms as in *CR-3884-2023*. The impugned order dated 20.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Bathinda, whereby the application for restoration of objections under Section 34 of the Act was allowed, is set aside.

4. Miscellaneous applications, if any, shall also stand disposed of.

29.04.2026
Chetan Thakur

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No