224 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No. 4737 of 2003 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 07.04.2026

HARDEV SINGH

.....APPELLANT

Vs.

ASHOK KUMAR AND OTHERS **.....RESPONDENTS**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3-insurance company.

*****

AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Today is the fourth consecutive date when there is no representation on behalf of the appellant. As per the office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back with the report that he has expired. It is further reported that Mr. Maharaj Kumar, Advocate, who was earlier representing the appellant, has also expired.

2. Keeping in view the above, it appears that the legal representatives of the appellant are not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

3. Consequently, the appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the legal representatives of the appellant to move an appropriate application for revival of the appeal, if so advised, in accordance with law.

4. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

APRIL 07, 2026 **(AMARINDER SINGH GREWAL)**
nitin **JUDGE**
Whether Speaking Yes
Whether Reportable No

NITIN
2026.04.08 10:27
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document